Pulsed Miocene range growth in northeastern Tibet: Insights from Xunhua Basin magnetostratigraphy and provenance
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ABSTRACT

Sedimentary rocks in Tibetan Plateau basins archive the spatiotemporal patterns of deformation, erosion, and associated climate change that resulted from Cenozoic continental collision. Despite growing understanding of basin development in northeastern Tibet during initial India-Asia collision, as well as in the late Miocene–Holocene, surprisingly little is known about the intervening period: a time when the plateau may have undergone fundamental tectonic changes. To fill this gap, we present new magnetostratigraphy from a >2300-m-thick fluviolacustrine succession that spans ca. 30–9.3 Ma. An integrated analysis of sedimentology, subsidence, and provenance from this section reveals the sequential, pulsed erosion of multiple ranges bordering the Xunhua Basin. Emergence of the WNW-trending Laji Shan is highlighted by a doubling of sediment accumulation rates between 24 and 21 Ma and a transition to coarse alluvial facies at 20.3 Ma. Detrital zircon U/Pb age spectra show that these coarse sediments came from basement terranes within the Laji Shan. Together these observations suggest accelerated growth of the Laji Shan and its coupled foreland basin at ca. 22 Ma. The most rapid accumulation rates in Xunhua Basin occur within the finest-grained strata and suggest an underfilled basin during the fastest interval of Laji Shan deformation. Growth of the Laji Shan occurred northward of the contemporaneous plateau margin, which had been defined since ca. 45–50 Ma by the West Qinling, lying ~60 km farther south. Hence, following ~20–25 m.y. of apparent stability, the deformation front in this region jumped ~60 km to the north at ca. 22 Ma. Subsequently, growth of the north-trending Jishi Shan occurred at ca. 13 Ma and is highlighted by an acceleration in Xunhua Basin accumulation rates between 12 and 9 Ma, as well as by a significant change in detrital zircon provenance of nearby Linxia Basin deposits by 11.5 Ma. Initial growth of the WNW-trending Laji Shan in the early Miocene and subsequent growth of the north-trending Jishi Shan ~10 m.y. later support interpretations of a middle Miocene kinematic reorganization in northeastern Tibet.

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, growing evidence indicates that contractional deformation near the present northern margin of the Tibetan Plateau commenced a few million years after initial India-Asia continental collision (Yin et al., 2002, 2008a; Yin and Harrison, 2000), despite the >3000 km distance separating northeastern Tibet from the plate boundary at ca. 50 Ma (Molnar and Stock, 2009). Along the northeastern plateau margin, a regional 25° clockwise rotation by ca. 41 Ma (Dupont-Nivet et al., 2008) and the onset of localized thrust-induced cooling (Clark et al., 2010) and fault gouge (Duvall et al., 2011) at ca. 45–50 Ma directly support an Eocene onset of contractional tectonism in the Xining-Lanzhou region (Fig. 1A). To the west, along the northern plateau margin, initiation of a suite of contractional structures in the northern Qaidam Basin and adjacent ranges at ca. 65–50 Ma (Yin et al., 2008a) corroborates this early deformation. Furthermore, the oldest strata within continuous Cenozoic stratigraphic successions in both the Xining (Dai et al., 2006) and Qaidam Basins (summarized in Yin et al., 2008b) are nearly coeval with the initial phase of India-Asia continental collision.

On the other hand, several studies document an episode of accelerated deformation, erosion, and deposition initiating in the late Miocene on both the northern and eastern plateau margins. Some of the most compelling evidence derives from low-temperature thermochronological histories in uplifted mountain ranges where age-depth or time-temperature relationships exhibit a clear transition to rapid cooling. Accelerated late Miocene–Pliocene development of the eastern plateau margin is revealed by detailed thermochronological records from the Longmen Shan (Godard et al., 2009), Min Shan (Kirby et al., 2002), and Gongsha Shan areas (Clark et al., 2005; Ouimet et al., 2010), as well as fission-track-length modeling from a portion of the Qinling (Einkelmann et al., 2006). In northeastern Tibet, thermochronologic age-elevation relationships also clearly demarcate a late Miocene onset of accelerated cooling in the Liupan Shan (Zheng et al., 2006) and north Qilian Shan (Zheng et al., 2010), which is corroborated by sedimentological and magnetostratigraphic archives adjacent to these ranges (Fig. 1A; Bovet et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011a). Finally, magnetostratigraphic records adjacent to the Riyue Shan (Fang et al., 2005; Lease et al., 2007), Qinghai Nan Shan (Zhang et al., 2011), and Gonghe Nan Shan (Craddock et al., 2011) reveal the late Miocene emergence of these ranges.

Despite increasing knowledge of plateau-margin deformation during both the Eocene and Oligocene, geological knowledge of the interval between these two episodes remains sparse. For example, the large body of work revealing extensive late Miocene–Pliocene range growth in northeastern Tibet (Fig. 1A) stands in contrast to only meager evidence for Oligocene–middle Miocene range growth. Proxy data sets, such as middle Miocene vertical-axis rotations (Yan et al., 2006) or decreases in detrital thermochronology lag times (Zheng et al., 2003), are often unable to delimit proximate causes (Zhang et al., 2011) or source areas. In addition, recent thermochronological results that suggest
Factors that remain unknown are whether significant basin development and contractional deformation took place in the Xining-Lanzhou region of northeastern Tibet between 40 and 10 Ma, and if so, whether these processes were isolated or part of a continuum. Several outstanding questions concerning the Oligocene–middle Miocene depositional history of northeastern Tibet remain unanswered: (1) Was accumulation slow and steady for tens of millions of years (e.g., Dai et al., 2006) or was accumulation punctuated by the onset of flexure (e.g., Fang et al., 2003) or sediment ponding (e.g., Métivier et al., 1998) adjacent to newly uplifted ranges? (2) Was sediment derived primarily from distal sources in the plateau interior to the south or from newly exposed sources outboard of the contemporaneous plateau margin? (3) When did a once-contiguous foreland basin become compartmentalized into several smaller intermontane basins?

For clear answers to these questions, we need to define the onset, pace, nature, and extent of basin development and range growth. In this paper, we integrate new magnetostratigraphic, provenance, subsidence, and sedimentological analyses from the northern Xunhua Basin with extant work to assess the late Oligocene-to-Pliocene depositional and tectonic history of northeastern Tibet. We document sequential growth of the Laji-Jishi Shan complex in northeastern Tibet in two discrete phases: punctuated erosion of the Laji Shan at ca. 22 Ma and of the Jishi Shan at ca. 13 Ma.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The Tertiary Xunhua Basin (herein simply referred to as Xunhua Basin) is located ~100 km southeast of Xining, Qinghai province, China (Fig. 1B). Xunhua Basin fill occupies a surface...
area of ~3300 km² with elevations ranging from 1870 m (base level of the Yellow River at the town of Xunhua) to 3000 m. Headward incision by the ancestral Yellow River and its tributaries through this region starting at ca. 1.7 Ma (Cradock et al., 2010; Harkins et al., 2007; Li et al., 1997) has exhumed several well-exposed sedimentary sections.

In this paper, we utilize extant Chinese nomenclature to subdivide the range previously referred to simply as the “Laji Shan” (Lease et al., 2007) into three segments. This subdivision reflects the different structural orientations, uplift/erosion histories, and geology of the constituent ranges—from northwest to southeast (Fig. 1B), the Riyue Shan, the Laji Shan, and the Jishi Shan. The Xunhua Basin, the focus of our study, is bordered on all sides by ranges bounded by thrust faults: the narrow ranges of the Laji Shan to the north, the Jishi Shan to the east, and the Zamazari Shan to the west, as well as the wider, more substantive West Qinling to the south (Fig. 1B). Key aspects of the growth of several of these ranges have been previously examined. The Eocene onset of reverse faulting in the West Qinling defined the contemporaneous plateau margin (Clark et al., 2010), whereas Miocene faulting northward of this margin initiated in the NW-trending Laji Shan ca. 22 Ma and in the north-trending Jishi Shan ca. 13 Ma (Lease et al., 2011) (Fig. 1B).

Erosion of the NW-trending Riyue Shan north of Guide Basin intensified after 10 Ma (Fang et al., 2005, 2007), whereas Miocene faulting northward of the basin adjacent to both the Laji Shan and Jishi Shan ranges.

The known Mesozoic–Cenozoic depositional record of northeastern Tibet in the Xining–Lanzhou region to the north, east, and west of Xunhua Basin is characterized by quite slow rates of accumulation (~40 m/m.y.) in a Lower Cretaceous (extensional?) basin (Horton et al., 2004), followed by slower, but relatively steady Eocene-to-early Miocene accumulation (~20 m/m.y.) in a foreland basin (Dai et al., 2006). Accelerated late Miocene–Pliocene accumulation (>100 m/m.y.) in the Guide Basin (Fang et al., 2005) has been interpreted to indicate accelerated local rock uplift (Lease et al., 2007).

Eocene–Oligocene deposition in the Xining Basin (Dai et al., 2006) occurred primarily within playa and marginal lacustrine environments (Abels et al., 2011; Dupont-Nivet et al., 2007) that were limited in spatial extent. Clastic input and inferred aridity generally increase within the younger deposits. Lower Miocene deposits in the southern Xunhua Basin generally fine upward from sandy deltaic/floodplain facies to silt- and clay-rich lacustrine facies (Hough et al., 2011). Upper Miocene–Pliocene deposits in southern Xunhua, on the other hand, display a coarsening-upward trend from lacustrine facies to braided fluvial facies. Miocene–Pliocene stratigraphic records from the nearby Linxia and Guide Basins show similar depositional environments and coarsening-upward trends (Fang et al., 2003, 2005).

CENOZOIC STRATIGRAPHY

We measured and described ~2300 m of Tertiary sedimentary rocks (Fig. 2) in a continuous succession (the Hualong section) in the northeastern part of Xunhua Basin (Fig. 1B) near the town of Qiajia (section base: 35.981°N, 102.510°E; section top: 36.016°N, 102.505°E). The succession lies adjacent to the eastern Laji Shan–northern Jishi Shan and records the late Oligocene–Miocene growth of these ranges. Sedimentary rocks in the Hualong section are interpreted to have been deposited within five sedimentary environments (playa, marginal lacustrine/deltaic plain, alluvial fan, braided fluvial, floodplain) that exhibit a generally coarsening-upward trend. Next, we describe the lithologies found in the Hualong section in the context of five depositional facies that make up three overarching stratigraphic units.

At the base of the section (0–290 m; Fig. 2), facies A is composed of massive red-brown gypsiferous mudstone that is interbedded with gray-green laminated siltstone and green-white layered gypsum (up to 3 m thick). The gypsiferous mudstone is mildly calcareous to noncalcareous with abundant interstitial gypsum and gypsum veins (Fm, Table 1) and local gypsum flowers, blades, and gypsarenite layers. Mudstone beds are 0.1–30 m thick, tabular, and laterally continuous over tens to hundreds of meters. Most gypsum beds are 0.5 to 30 cm thick, tabular, and laterally continuous over meters to >100 m (E, Table 1). Clasts coarser than very fine sand are chiefly composed of gypsum crystals from either primary or secondary precipitation. The weathering of layers varies from blocky (0–50 m) to hackly (50–125 m) to cliff-forming (125–290 m), with a series of cliffs and ledges (125–290 m) defined by gypsum-rich layers. We interpret facies A (Table 2) to have been deposited in a shallow lacustrine environment with playa-like conditions (Schreiber and El Tabakh, 2000; Talbot and Allen, 1996) based on the presence of extensive primary gypsum beds in combination with both oxidized and reduced gypsiferous muds (Fig. 2). Facies B deposits, located at 290–1070 m and 1160–1250 m height, consist of massive red-brown gypsiferous mudstone with interbedded tan sandstone and gray-green siltstone (Fig. 2). The mudstone has abundant gypsum veins and is otherwise similar in character to the mudstone (Fm, Table 1) described in the playa facies, with minor differences. Gypsum decreases in abundance up section, with layered gypsum becoming rare above 400 m and gypsum veins rare above 840 m. Mudstone found above 550 m, on the other hand, is characterized by gray-green to blue-green mottling, which increases in abundance up section. Finally, 0.3–1-m-thick interbeds of medium- to coarse-grained, tan sandstone that commonly exhibits trough cross-stratification (St, Table 1) occur throughout the entire interval (Fig. 2). Individual lenticular sandstone interbeds are stacked to form restricted lenticular bodies that are generally <5 m thick and extend laterally for <10 m. Horizontal laminations (Sh, Table 1) characterize the sandstone interlayers found between 450 and 650 m.

The lithologies we group together as facies B (Table 2) are interpreted to represent diverse depositional characteristics. Thick intervals of dominantly red-brown mudstone with abundant mottling extending for tens to hundreds of meters of vertical section (Fig. 2) suggest prolonged floodplain, mud flat, or lacustrine deposition, though ubiquitous gypsum veins obfuscate a more detailed analysis of mudstone sedimentology. Intervals of alternating blue-green and red-brown siltstones (Fig. 2), on the other hand, suggest periodic subaerial conditions, and rare primary gypsum indicates occasional evaporative conditions. Additionally, distinctive fluvial channel sands commonly punctuate the fine-grained deposits (Fig. 2) and are restricted laterally to only a few meters, suggesting ribbon channel bodies incised into a muddy plain (Friend et al., 1979). Collectively, we interpret this diverse facies assemblage (facies B, Table 2) to be suggestive of intermittent floodplain, shallow lacustrine, and distributary channels as part of a deltaic plain/marginal lacustrine environment (Cabrera et al., 1985; Reading and Collinson, 1996).

Facies C contains brown and red-brown conglomerates and sandstones with interbedded mudstone arranged in several fining-upward successions (up to 10 m thick). These deposits are restricted to the middle of the section at 1070–1160 m height (Fig. 2). Conglomerate beds are typically massive, matrix supported, and have nonerosive bases (Cmm, Table 1). Commonly, subangular pebbles up to 40 cm diameter are enclosed in a medium- to coarse-grained sand matrix. Sandstone beds are 0.5–1.5 m thick, medium grained, and have
Figure 2. Lithologies and interpreted depositional facies and units within the measured Hualong magnetostratigraphic section from the northeastern margin of Xunhua Basin. Facies code abbreviations are described in Table 1. Expanded columns A to F illustrate typical facies assemblages for each depositional environment (see Table 2).
h

TABLE 1. LITHOFACIES AND INTERPRETATIONS USED IN THIS STUDY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithofacies code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Layered gypsum beds</td>
<td>Chemical precipitation in an evaporative lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps</td>
<td>Carbonate with pedogenic features including nodules and adjacent root traces</td>
<td>Soil formation on floodplain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fm</td>
<td>Massive mudstone to fine-grained sandstone with common gray motting and gypsum veins</td>
<td>Suspension settling in lake and overbank deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>Massive medium- to fine-grained sandstone</td>
<td>Sandy mud flows and suspension settling in lake and overbank deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>Fine- to medium-grained sandstone with small, asymmetric, 2D and 3D current ripples</td>
<td>Migration of small 2D and 3D ripples under weak (&lt;20–40 cm/s), unidirectional flows in shallow channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St</td>
<td>Medium- to very coarse-grained sandstone with trough cross-stratification</td>
<td>Migration of large 3D ripples (dunes) under moderately powerful (40–100 cm/s) unidirectional flows in large channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp</td>
<td>Medium- to very coarse-grained sandstone with planar cross-stratification</td>
<td>Migration of large 2D ripples under moderately powerful (&lt;40–60 cm/s), unidirectional channelized flows; migration of sandy transverse bars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Fine- to medium-grained sandstone with plane-parallel lamination</td>
<td>Upper plane bed conditions under unidirectional flows, either strong (&gt;100 cm/s) or very shallow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czm</td>
<td>Pebble to cobble conglomerate, clast-supported, unstratified, disorganized to poorly sorted</td>
<td>Deposition from sheetfloods and clast-rich debris flows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cci</td>
<td>Pebble to cobble conglomerate, clast-supported, horizontally stratified, imbricated, poorly sorted</td>
<td>Deposition from shallow traction currents in longitudinal bars and gravel sheets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cmm</td>
<td>Massive, matrix-supported pebble to cobble conglomerate, poorly sorted, disorganized, unstratified</td>
<td>Deposition by cohesive mud-matrix debris flows</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Modified after Miall (1978) and DeCelles et al. (1991).

TABLE 2. DEPOSITIONAL FACIES AND ASSOCIATED FACIES CODES (FROM TABLE 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depositional facies</th>
<th>Major facies codes</th>
<th>Minor facies codes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Playa</td>
<td>Fm</td>
<td>Sm, E, Sh, Fl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Marginal lacustrine/deltaic plain</td>
<td>Cm, Fm, Cm</td>
<td>Sh, Sm, St</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Alluvial fan</td>
<td>Cm, Fm, Cm</td>
<td>Sh, Sm, St</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Braided fluvial</td>
<td>Cm, Fm, Cm, Sm, Fm</td>
<td>Cm, Sh, St, Sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Floodplain</td>
<td>Fm, Sm, Com, Sh, Cm, Ps</td>
<td>Sm, Sh, Sr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sandstone beds are laterally continuous over tens of meters, and bodies composed of several beds are continuous over hundreds of meters. Brown, silty, fine-sand interlayers are largely featureless, with motting, rare floating granules and pebbles, and poorly developed pedogenic structures (Fm, Table 1). We interpret this facies association (facies D, Table 2) to represent a braided fluvial environment (Miall, 1996), based on the widespread channelization of conglomerates and sandstones together with evidence of interfluvial pedogenesis. The textural immaturity of the conglomerates may suggest deposition by ephemeral (flashy) flooding (Nemec and Steel, 1984). Additionally, some conglomerate beds may have been deposited by clast-rich or hyperconcentrated flows.

Facies E consists of red-brown, brown, and yellow-brown, fine- to very fine-grained sandstone that is prevalent between 1750 and 1900 m and 2110–2310 m in the section (Fig. 2). Minor conglomerate and medium- to coarse-grained sandstone interbeds also occur in this interval. The fine-grained sandstones are massive, 1–20-m thick, tabular beds that extend laterally for tens of hundreds of meters and contain abundant root traces and gray motting with rare burrows and floating granules (Fm, Table 1; Fig. 2). Uncommon calcrete and calcareous nodules define several prominent 30-cm-thick, white-green beds (Ps, Table 1) at heights of ~1780 m and ~2310 m and are laterally continuous over tens of meters (Fig. 2). Interlayers of medium- to coarse-grained sandstone and clast-supported pebble conglomerate are 0.1–2 mm thick, massive to horizontally laminated, and laterally continuous over meters to tens of meters. These coarse deposits exhibit poorly defined normal grading and define a series of minor channel fills. We interpret facies E (Table 2) to have been deposited in a fluvial floodplain environment (Collinson, 1996; Nanson and Croke, 1992) in which carbonate-rich paleosols developed on stable or slowly aggrading floodplains (Mack et al., 1993).

We divide the 2310 m Hualong stratigraphic section into three broad depositional categories based on the facies associations described here. From the bottom to the top of the section, the Hualong units are (1) lacustrine, (2) transitional, and (3) fluvial. Lacustrine unit 1 constitutes the bottom half of the section at 0–1070 m height and is composed of facies A playa deposits and facies B marginal lacustrine/deltaic plain deposits. We define a brief interval of facies C alluvial-fan and facies B deposits at 1070–1250 height as transitional unit 2. The upper half of the section (1300–2310 m) comprises fluvial unit 3 and contains alternating facies D braided stream and facies E floodplain deposits. Within a broad regional framework, lacustrine unit 1 likely correlates with the “Xining Group,”...
whereas transitional unit 2 and fluvial unit 3 collectively correlate to the “Guide Group” (for descriptions, see Zhai and Cai, 1984; Fang et al., 2005; Dai et al., 2006; and references therein).

Paleocurrent and clast count measurements were obtained for conglomerates in units 2 and 3 (Fig. 3); no measurements were obtained from unit 1 and the lower half of the section. Each of the seven paleocurrent sites contains measurements from only ~10 imbricated pebble clasts. Measurements from four sites between 1360 and 1730 m show variable approximately south-westward paleoflow ranging from west-north-westward to southeastward. Three paleocurrent sites located between 1850 and 2050 m, on the other hand, show a more consistent westward paleoflow direction.

Observations of 100 clast lithologies from each of four sites between 1100 and 2050 m reveal no major changes in clast provenance. All sites are dominated by fine-grained shale and sandstone (79%–91%) with minor base- ment lithologies (Fig. 3). Granite and gneiss clasts together with potassium feldspar pheno- crystals comprise 6%–15% of clasts, with 0%–6% vein quartz also present as angular to subangular clasts. None of these lithologies is paleogeographically unique: Rocks of similar fine-grained and basement lithologies crop out on all sides of the basin (QBGMR, 1991). Despite their similar lithologic characteristics, however, rocks on various sides of the basin have different ages. Therefore, we use detrital zircon age distributions to precisely characterize different sediment sources and track their evolu- tion within the entire stratigraphic succession (see Detrital Zircon Provenance section).

MAGNETOSTRATIGRAPHY

Methods

We collected samples for magnetic polarity stratigraphy in mudstones, siltstones, and uncom- monly sandstones from the Hualong strati- graphic section (Fig. 2). We drilled 1747 cores from 510 sites spanning ~1900 m for an average sampling site spacing of 3.7 m/site. All 2.5-cm- diameter core specimens were obtained in situ using a gas-powered drill. Remanent magneti- zations were measured using a 2G Enterprises DC SQuID three-axis cryogenic magnetometer housed in a magnetically shielded room at the California Institute of Technology. The magnetometer has a background noise of <1 pAm² and is equipped with computer-controlled alternating field (AF) demagnetization coils and an au- tomated vacuum pick-and-put sample changer (Kirschvink et al., 2008). Thermal demagneti- zation was performed in a magnetically shielded ASC™ oven in a nitrogen atmosphere.

One specimen from each site plus 77 duplic- ates from certain sites were analyzed using a combination of thermal and AF demagne- tization techniques. All 587 specimens were initially measured for natural remanent magnetization (NRM) and then cooled in a LN₂ bath to remove potential multidomain viscous magnetizations. Specimens were subsequently subjected first to AF demagnetization in 2.5 mT steps up to 10 mT to remove low-coercivity magnetizations, and then to stepwise thermal demagnetization in 8–12 steps between a 150–250 °C starting temperature and a 600–680 °C ending temperature, typically in (1) 100–150 °C steps up to 450 °C, and (2) 15–50 °C steps up to 680 °C (Fig. 4). At least one specimen from every site was demagnetized, with second and third specimens also demagnetized if the initial specimen yielded unstable directions or was bracketed by samples from adjacent sites with opposite polarity.

Our 2310-m-thick Hualong magnetostrati- graphic section is a composite of several shorter subsections, as is typical of many stratigraphic studies (see GSA Data Repository Fig. DR1 for sampling map1). We utilized prominent marker beds to translate over short distances of a few hundred meters or less. Remeasurement of the section during detailed stratigraphic analysis and comparison of magnetostratigraphies on ei- ther side of the subsections, however, revealed three errors in our initial subsection correlations. Each of these errors was made in a place where correlations over large distances (~1 km) were necessary, and the errors are due to the difficulty of correlating over rugged terrain. We discuss these stratigraphic corrections both for transpar- ency and so that subsequent studies can reassess these correlations. We discovered an ~150-m-wide gap in the magnetostratigraphic centered near 450 m height, an ~45-m-wide gap centered at 1275 m height, and an additional ~68 m of overlap at a portion of the section centered at ~2000 m. The stratigraphic section that we pre- sent (Fig. 2) reflects these corrections. The basal 200 m interval of our measured section was too friable and dissected by gypsum veins to permit reliable magnetostratigraphic sampling.

1GSA Data Repository item 2012078, Paleomagnetic sampling map and results, detrital zircon U/Pb ages, is available at http://www.geosociety.org/pubs/ft2012.htm or by request to editing@geosociety.org.
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Results

The intensity of the NRM for Hualong specimens is typically $10^{-3}$ A/m, with a range of $10^{-4}$–$10^{-1}$ A/m. After LN$_2$ treatment, specimens typically displayed a drop in magnetic intensity of <15%, indicating that no appreciable remanence was carried by multidomain magnetite or hematite (Figs. 4E and 4F). Our stepwise demagnetization procedure clearly resolves a low-coercivity, low-temperature component and a magnetization procedure that is typically removed by 250 °C and interpreted to be a viscous overprint, as well as a high-temperature component (HTC) that is interpreted to be the characteristic remanent magnetization. Note that the intensity scale varies ~100-fold. (E–F) Decay of magnetic moment for the same specimens during demagnetization. NRM—natural remanent magnetization, LTa—“low-temperature” step (samples cooled in liquid nitrogen), AF—alternating field (in mT), T—temperature (in °C).

ChRM directions were determined for each specimen using principal component analysis (Kirschvink, 1980) as implemented in Paleomag 3.1.0 b1 (Jones, 2002), typically from nine points (minimum 3 points, maximum 20) from the stable high-temperature component. The origin is only included if the demagnetization path decays toward it. We report ChRM directions in stratigraphic coordinates that are corrected for fold plunge followed by bedding tilt (Fig. 5) because we mapped a series of folds within the Hualong sections with axes that plunge ~25° in the direction of N17°E. Paleocurrent imbrication
measurements are also subject to this two-stage untilting procedure.

Virtual geomagnetic poles (VGP) were then calculated and their latitude used to denote either a normal polarity or reversed polarity specimen. Specimens with a noisy or ambiguous orthogonal demagnetization diagram or a maximum angular deviation (MAD) >15% are excluded from further analysis, thus removing 23% of the measured specimens. The average MAD for resulting specimens is 6°. A positive fold test (Tauxe and Watson, 1994, as implemented in Tauxe et al., 2009) attests to the reliability of our demagnetization data in defining the depositional remanence (Fig. 6). Progressive untilting of the individual fold-corrected ChRM directions about the local bedding orientation shows the maximum clustering of directions occurring at 108% untilting, with 95% confidence limits of 97%–122% untilting (Fig. 6). It is worth noting that without the additional correction for the fold plunge, the data set fails the fold test. Clearly, for folds with plunges >5°–10°, a two-step unfolding procedure is warranted.

The Hualong paleomagnetic data set, however, has a negative reversal test (McFadden and McElhinny, 1990), with the paleomagnetic data set (Fig. 5) failing both as a whole and when divided into 10 regularly spaced sub–data sets (7 of 10 sub-data sets fail). The negative reversal test suggests an unremoved overprint. Reversed directions are biased toward more westerly directions, and normal directions are biased toward more northerly directions, as expected from the effect of an unresolved partial normal overprint, e.g., figure 7 of Dupont-Nivet et al. (2008). Given the significant remanence retained by some samples even at 680 °C, e.g., sample DM430.1 (Fig. 4C), a residual overprint is not unexpected. In addition, inclination shallowing, common in central Asia sedimentary strata, e.g., Gilder et al. (2003), is suggested for the Hualong paleomagnetic data set by mean corrected inclinations (32°–38°; Fig. 5) that are much lower than expected: 56°–59°, calculated from late Oligocene–late Miocene Eurasian reference poles in Besse and Courtillot (2002). Given that inclination shallowing occurs early during sedimentation, its presence in the Hualong data set suggests a primary origin for the measured magnetic remanence.

Given the success of the fold test, the presence of inclination shallowing, and the fact that normal and reversed directions all plot within their respective hemispheres (indicating that the sample populations are qualitatively antipodal), we accept our polarity determinations despite the failure of the reversal test. We acknowledge that a partial overprint remains unremoved, however, and suggest that it would be unwise to utilize the Hualong paleomagnetic data set to study rotations within the basin. The success of the fold test and the failure of the reversal test, when considered together, suggest that the partial normal overprint affected many Hualong sites and likely occurred after deposition of the youngest unit within the section.

We constructed a detailed magnetic polarity stratigraphy based on the VGP latitudes from the Hualong paleomagnetic data set (Fig. 7).
We identified 33 normal magnetozones and 31 reversed magnetozones in the Hualong section, with each magnetozone defined by two or more specimens that display the same polarity and have VGP latitudes >30°. A jackknife resampling of our magnetostratigraphy affirms the robustness of our data set, returning a J value of −0.40, which lies within the recommended range of 0 to −0.5 (Tauxe and Gallet, 1991; Fig. DR3 [see footnote 1]). This result suggests that we have recovered more than 95% of the true number of magnetochrons. Additionally, a statistical assessment (Johnson and Mcgee, 1983) of the number of reversals expected with our site density (63) is commensurate with the number of reversals we detected (65). Questionable reversals defined by only a single specimen are shown with a half-bar (Fig. 7).

The correlation of the Hualong magnetic polarity stratigraphy to the geomagnetic polarity time scale (GPTS: Ogg and Smith, 2004) is facilitated by our discovery of an in situ fossil jawbone complete with teeth from a Miocene horse. We recovered the fossil within our measured stratigraphic section during the course of sample collection. The teeth (Fig. 8) are identified as belonging to the *Hipparion weihoense* taxon with an age of 9.7–8.7 Ma (early part of the late Miocene, NMU 9 of Bahean or MN 10 of Vallesian; Deng, 2008, personal commun.). The presence of the fossil specimen within our magnetostratigraphic section is fortuitous, because it eliminates potential error that arises when correlating fossil-bearing strata across several to tens of kilometers, which is a particularly valid concern in the Xunhua region due to the presence of rapid lateral variations in both facies and thicknesses, e.g., Fang et al. (2003).

The *Hipparion weihoense* specimen serves as an independent chronostratigraphic tie point that anchors the top of the Hualong magnetostratigraphy to the GPTS (Fig. 7). For our preferred correlation of the Hualong magnetic polarity

---

**Figure 7.** Hualong section magnetic polarity stratigraphy (MPS) and its correlation to the geomagnetic polarity time scale (GPTS; Ogg and Smith, 2004). Stratigraphic logs of virtual geomagnetic pole (VGP) latitudes, lithologies, and depositional units are shown on left. Each magnetozone in the magnetic polarity stratigraphy is defined by two or more specimens (typically from multiple sites, but sometimes from one site) of the same polarity that have VGP latitudes >30°. Questionable reversals defined only by a single specimen measured from one site are labeled with half-bars.
stratigraphy to the GPTS, the magnetostratigraphy spans 27.8–9.3 Ma. Depositional ages are assigned to the described lithologic units as follows: lacustrine unit 1 spans 30–20.3 Ma, transitional unit 2 spans 20.3–18 Ma, and fluvial unit 3 spans 18–9.3 Ma. Our correlation places the boundary between the Xining Group and Guide Group at 20.3 Ma.

The correlation of the Hualong magnetic polarity stratigraphy to the GPTS between 27.8 and 25 Ma contains some uncertainty because of the presence of a gap in our magnetostratigraphic sampling (between 370 and 520 m height in the section), plus several questionable single-site polarity reversals (Fig. 7). Some of the single-site reversals, however, might correlate with short-duration “cryptochrons” recognized within C7n and C9n (Cande and Kent, 1995; Tauxe and Hartl, 1997). Our proposed correlation for the 27.8–25 Ma portion of the section assumes no major accumulation rate changes and no major depositional hiatus: These assumptions are supported by the continuity of the overlying 25–9.3 Ma magnetostratigraphic record, as well as the absence of field evidence for an unconformity.

Finally, we acknowledge several, short-lived (i.e., <100 k.y.) polarity reversals that do not correlate between the GPTS time scale and the Hualong magnetic polarity stratigraphy record. We discuss these reversals later herein; however, their presence does not change the magnetostratigraphic correlation. First, there are five, short GPTS chrons that have no correlative magnetzone in the Hualong magnetic polarity stratigraphy: reversed chrons C4Ar.3r, C5Ar.2r, C6Bn.1r, and C7n.1r, plus normal chron C5r.2r-1. These uncorrelated GPTS chrons, which have durations of 30–60 k.y., could be due to the following: (1) Our sampling missed these chrons because the spacing between superposed samples represents a longer period of time than the short duration of each chron, (2) the Hualong succession had depositional hiatuses during these short chrons, or (3) samples from these short, reversed polarity intervals were later overprinted with normal polarity. Second, we observe three magnetozones within the Hualong magnetic polarity stratigraphy that have no correlative chrons on the GPTS: reversed magnetozones within C6AAn and C5Dn, plus a normal zone within C6Cn.1r. Assuming steady deposition on 1.5 m.y. time scales, the uncorrelated magnetozones have estimated durations of 20–100 k.y. These unexpected magnetic polarity stratigraphy magnetozones may be invalid and due to errors during sampling or laboratory analysis, or, alternatively, they might reflect unrecognized cryptochrons within the GPTS.

**SUBSIDENCE**

Both the detail and duration of the Hualong magnetostratigraphy make it ideal for determining variations in sediment accumulation rates for the northern Xunhua Basin from 27 to 9 Ma. We decompacted sediment thicknesses according to their observed lithologies following the methods and porosity values of Sclater and Christie (1980). We performed two separate decompaction routines—one with deposition ending at ca. 9 Ma (the top of our measured Hualong section) and a second with an additional 1000 m of deposition ending at ca. 2 Ma. Though the youngest strata in the Hualong section are 9 Ma, deposition in southern Xunhua and adjacent basins continued until ca. 3–1.8 Ma (Fang et al., 2003, 2005; Hough et al., 2011), when the Yellow River began incising headward through the region (Craddock et al., 2010; Harkins et al., 2007) and evacuating basins. The separate 9 Ma versus 2 Ma decompaction routines illuminate the range of possible late Miocene–Pliocene depositional histories for Hualong; it is likely that Hualong deposition continued uninterrupted until ca. 2 Ma, although the possibility that Hualong was abandoned as a depocenter ca. 9 Ma as local deformation propagated into the basin cannot be eliminated. If deposition did continue in Hualong from 9 until 2 Ma, extrapolation of accumulation rates and facies from our upper Hualong section and the upper Xunhua section (Hough et al., 2011) suggests an additional ~1000 m of fluvial lacustrine deposition during this time.

Accumulation rates derived from the two different decompaction routines (9 Ma versus 2 Ma) display similar relative values and trends, differing only in absolute values by 11%–29%. Relatively steady accumulation at a rate of ~170 m/m.y. in Hualong between 27 and 24 Ma was followed by a distinct period of accelerated accumulation between 24 and 21 Ma with rates of ~200–310 m/m.y. (Figs. 9A and 9B). By 21 Ma, rates dropped to ~120 m/m.y. and remained steady until 12 Ma, when a distinct acceleration raised rates to ~200 m/m.y. until the top of the section at 9 Ma. The average decompacted accumulation rate for the entire section is 150 m/m.y. (9 Ma routine) to 180 m/m.y. (2 Ma routine). Sediment accumulation curves that have not been corrected for compaction also show distinct accelerations in rate at 24 and 12 Ma, with an average rate for the entire section of ~110 m/m.y. (Figs. 9A and 9B). We used uncompacted accumulation rates when comparing the Hualong section with sections from adjacent basins to maintain consistency with them (see Discussion).

To discriminate between the effects of tectonic versus sediment loading, we performed a one-dimensional Airy backstripping routine with exponential reduction of porosity using the method of Allen and Allen (2005) and sediment porosity values of Sclater and Christie (1980) as implemented in the OSXBackstrip program version 2.6 (Cardozo, 2009). We recognize that basin flexure was not the only control on Xunhua sediment accumulation rates; tectonic damaging behind growing structures may also have been significant, particularly for the 12–9 Ma portion of the section. Thus, our backstripping results provide estimates of maximum sediment and tectonic loading rates; damming would diminish loading rates. We assumed sediment loading only (e.g., no water loading) because of the terrestrial, arid setting of Xunhua Basin. We also made the simplifying assumption that the elevation of the basin has remained constant for the duration of accumulation, given a lack of independent paleoelevation information.

Our backstripping results show accelerated subsidence until 21 Ma, when peak rates dropped three- to fourfold compared to the maximum rates at ca. 23 Ma (Fig. 9C). A protracted...
Figure 9. (A) Age versus thickness for the Hualong magnetostratigraphic section. Curves depict both compacted and decompacted lithologies, plus separate decomposition routines run for deposition ending at 9 Ma and at 2 Ma. The latter assumes an extra 1 km of deposition prior to 2 Ma. Accumulation rates are slopes of linear regressions with \( \pm \) error indicating the \( \alpha_{95} \) limits of regression. Vertical dashed lines are dividing lines between regressions. Note the accelerated accumulation rates at 24–21 Ma and at 12–9 Ma. (B) Age versus accumulation rate for the Hualong section. Blocky data reflect rates for each correlated magnetozone, and smoother data reflect a 2 m.y. moving average. (C) Age versus subsidence for the Hualong section from backstripping analysis. Subsidence rates are slopes of linear regressions with \( \pm \) error indicating \( \alpha_{95} \) limits of regression. Range of rates for each age section reflects the separate “9 Ma” and “2 Ma” decomposition routines described in A. (D) Hualong section lithologies and depositional units versus age for reference with accumulation and subsidence plots above: A, B, and C. Note that (1) rapid accumulation rates at 24–21 Ma are coincident with deposition of fine-grained lacustrine unit 1; (2) progradation of coarse-grained units 2 and 3 is coincident with slow rates, suggesting that underfilled foreland deposition was controlled by subsidence and not supply; and (3) thickness scale is nonlinear.

period of rapid subsidence starting at 27 Ma intensified from 24 to 22.5 Ma and remained accelerated, though slower, until 21 Ma. Subsidence rates then decreased and remained stable from 21 to 12 Ma before accelerating 50%–75% from 12 to 9 Ma.

Our interpretations of first-order changes in subsidence rates at ca. 24, 21, and 12 Ma are insensitive to modifications of the backstripping routine such as the addition of a water load or an overlying Miocene–Pliocene sedimentary load. Inclusion of such a large, 100-m-deep water load creates only a 1% increase in the magnitude of the relative changes in subsidence rate, although this water load increases the absolute subsidence rates by 43%–45% uniformly throughout the section. Adding an overlying 1000-m-thick, 9–2 Ma sedimentary load, as explored with our 2 Ma decomposition routine, gives relative subsidence values and trends that are similar to our 9 Ma routine (without the additional load), although the results differ absolutely by 10%–30% (Fig. 9C).
DETrital zircon Provenance

Methods

Provenance analyses using single-grain detrital zircon U-Pb age determinations have proven particularly useful in areas where two or more geographically distinct source areas have similar lithologies but have distinguishable U-Pb age signatures (DeCelles et al., 2004). The uplift and erosion of one range relative to other ranges can be assessed by examining detrital zircon age spectra from sediments deposited in basins adjacent to these ranges. Age spectra from several levels within a stratigraphic succession that spans several million years can track the evolution of source terranes and detect the emergence of newly uplifted ranges (Lease et al., 2007).

We collected ~5 kg sandstone samples from each of six stratigraphic horizons within our measured section from Hualong (Fig. 2). The age of each horizon is well constrained based on our detailed magnetostratigraphy, and overall these ages range from 28 to 10 Ma (Fig. 7). We also collected samples from five horizons within the Wangjiashan section in Linxia Basin, which has ages ranging from ca. 25 to 7.5 Ma based on magnetostratigraphic correlation (2–11 Ma; Fang et al., 2003) and lithostratigraphic correlation to the Maogou section (11–25 Ma; Li et al., 1997); samples from two of these horizons were analyzed previously (Lease et al., 2007). To conclude potential biasing of the zircon distribution by a poorly mixed depositional environment (DeGraaff-Surpless et al., 2003), each sample consists of several subsamples collected a few meters apart within the same stratum. We report detrital zircon age spectra from typically >95 single grains per sample. The Hualong stratigraphic succession is well suited for an analysis of local tectonism because it lies along the Laji-Jishi Shan range front and, therefore, is more likely to contain locally derived sediment and record the growth of nearby ranges.

To better characterize detrital zircon age signatures currently being supplied by various local rock units (source terranes) in the Laji-Jishi-Riyue Shan, we supplemented published work (Lease et al., 2007) with 294 additional single-grain analyses from four new samples (Fig. 1B). Each sample is composed of ~5 kg of sand from a modern catchment that drains one or more lithologic units. Our four new samples are from small catchments (<4 km²) that are dominated by Proterozoic or Cretaceous units, neither of which has been documented to have a distinctive age signature. We sampled along the range front to avoid contamination by recycled zircon population from recently eroded Neogene strata.

U-Pb geochronology of detrital zircons was conducted by laser ablation–multicollector–inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (LA-MC-ICP-MS) at the University of Arizona LaserChron Center (Gehrels et al., 2006). Measured ages and isotopic ratios are reported in the GSA Data Repository (see footnote 1).

Results I—Modern Source Terranes

Detrital zircon age populations from modern catchments can be tied to discrete source terranes within adjacent ranges. Modern catchments draining the Laji-Jishi-Riyue Shan are dominated by a 450 Ma zircon population derived from early Paleozoic plutonic and Cambrian volcanic units (labeled Pp and Cv), with a subsidiary ca. 850 Ma (or 500 to 1000 Ma) zircon population derived from a Silurian sedimentary unit (S) that is exposed locally (Fig. 10A; Lease et al., 2007). Our new analyses from catchments draining Proterozoic units (samples Pr2 and Pr3, Fig. 10A) show that they lack a distinctive age signature but instead are dominated by ca. 450 Ma zircons, likely from abundant granitic dikes within the unit.

Modern catchments draining the West Qinling are characterized by a discrete ca. 250 Ma zircon population derived from Triassic plutons (Tp) and a broader >1500 Ma population of recycled zircons derived from Triassic Songpan-Garze flysch (Tr; Fig. 10B; Lease et al., 2007). The Triassic Songpan-Garze unit (with its >1500 Ma Tr zircons) constitutes the majority of exposed rock in the West Qinling (Fig. 1B); however, Triassic flysch is not found immediately north, across the south Qilian suture (Yin and Harrison, 2000). North of the West Qinling, Triassic flysch is only present in a restricted area of the Riyue Shan (Fig. 1B), a distal area that is not a likely source area for the Xunhua or Linxia Basins, which are our focus. Thus, we interpret >1500 Ma Triassic flysch zircons found within the late Oligocene–Miocene Xunhua and Linxia Basins to be derived primarily from a proximal, West Qinling source.

Figure 10. Detrital zircon U/Pb age distributions from samples within modern catchments (locations in Fig. 1B) in the (A) Laji-Jishi-Riyue Shan and (B) West Qinling. Modern Laji-Jishi Shan samples are dominated by ca. 450 Ma and 500–1000 Ma zircon populations, which can be used to distinguish detritus eroding from the range. Modern West Qinling samples, in contrast, are characterized by ca. 250 Ma and >1500 Ma populations. Distributions are shown as probability density functions.
Our new analyses from catchments draining the Cretaceous unit in both the West Qinling (sample K2; Fig. 10B) and Laji-Jishi-Riyue Shan (sample K1; Fig. 10A) show that this unit exhibits a composite age spectra including all four documented local source terranes, and thus the Cretaceous unit cannot be distinguished as a unique source terrane. In addition, during mineral separation of modern detrital zircon samples, we observed that samples draining only Cretaceous bedrock (samples K1 and K2) had grain yields that were orders of magnitude lower than yields from samples draining plutonic and other lithologies. The much lower abundance of zircon grains from Cretaceous sources relative to other potential sources suggests that recycled zircons from Cretaceous sources are volumetrically insignificant and that late Oligocene–Miocene basin strata are dominated by other basement sources with a greater abundance of zircon grains.

Altogether, detritus derived from the Laji-Jishi-Riyue Shan, which lie to the north and east of Xunhua Basin, is best characterized by a 450 Ma early Paleozoic population that constitutes 62% of all modern detrital zircons dated from the range (Fig. 10A). This 450 Ma population constitutes 78% of Laji-Jishi-Riyue zircons when 2 of 9 modern samples are excluded from the range average: 1 sample 16 from the Riyue Shan, which contains Triassic flysch zircons that do not represent a viable northern source for the Xunhua and Linxia Basins, and 2 sample K1, which contains indistinguishable, volumetrically insignificant zircons from Cretaceous strata. In comparison, detritus derived from the West Qinling and Zamazari Shan, which lie to the south and west of Xunhua Basin, is best characterized by a 250 Ma Triassic plutonic population (43% of all modern detrital zircons) and >1500 Ma population (29%) from Triassic flysch (Fig. 10B).

Results II—Late Oligocene–Miocene Provenance
In the context of these contrasting source areas, the emergence of the eastern Laji Shan and Jishi Shan as important source areas can be discerned by comparing the U/Pb age distributions in strata from the northern edge of the Xunhua Basin contained within our Hualong magnetostratigraphic section (Fig. 11B). The age spectra for each stratigraphic horizon can be examined against a background of ca. 250 and >1500 Ma zircon age populations from the West Qinling, a range which has been eroding at a relatively steady rate of 0.1 mm/yr since ca. 45–50 Ma (Clark et al., 2010). The Hualong zircon spectra reveal a major transition in the northern Xunhua Basin between 22.5 and 19 Ma as dominant sources in the West Qinling were supplanted by sources in the Laji–Jishi Shan (Fig. 11B).

To determine the combination of source terranes that best defines the age distribution of each stratum (Fig. 11B), we used a sediment-mixing model (Amidon et al., 2005; Lease et al., 2007) that includes our four primary source terranes (Fig. 11A): Triassic plutonic (Tp; ca. 250 Ma), Triassic flysch (Tr; >1500 Ma), early Paleozoic plutonic and volcanic (Pp and Cv; ca. 450 Ma), and Silurian sedimentary (S; 500–1000 Ma) units. For the range of possible source combinations, we modeled synthetic age distributions by mixing the source terranes and then iteratively calculating the synthetic age distribution that gave the minimum mismatch to the observed age distribution for a given stratum (Fig. 12). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test returned high P values (>0.99) for the best-fit samples and indicates that the observed and synthetic age distributions are statistically indistinguishable.

Modeling of the detrital zircon age distributions from Hualong basin strata quantities a significant provenance change after 22.5 Ma (Fig. 12A). Age distributions from 28, 24.5, and 22.5 Ma Hualong strata are statistically indistinguishable (Kolmogorov-Smirnov P > 0.08, 80 m.y. smoothing window) and are dominated by ~75% zircons derived from the two West Qinling units—Paleozoic (Pp and Cv) and Silurian (S)—to ~50% of the distribution (Fig. 12A). This strengthening of the Laji-Jishi Shan as a source area for Xunhua Basin is sustained throughout the upper Hualong section, with samples from 19, 13.5, and 10 Ma strata being statistically identical (Kolmogorov-Smirnov P > 0.44, 80 m.y. smoothing window). The enhanced contribution from the Laji-Jishi Shan starting between...
22.5 and 19 Ma indicates that the Paleozoic and Silurian source terranes in the Laji Shan were exposed and actively eroding by ca. 20 Ma. We attribute this exposure to accelerated rock uplift in the Laji Shan at or before this time.

Detrital zircon age distributions (Fig. 11C) from the Wangjiashan magneto- and lithostratigraphic section in western Linxia Basin (Fang et al., 2003; Fig. 1B) show a major provenance change after 14.5 Ma, with an abrupt doubling of Laji-Jishi Shan zircons by 11.5 Ma (Fig. 12B). Age distributions for the 25, 21.5, and 14.5 Ma Linxia strata are each dominated by ~75% zircons from the two West Qinling units—Triassic plutonic (Tp) and Triassic flysch (Tr)—with only a minor 25% of zircons from the Laji-Jishi Shan units. A striking provenance change by 11.5 Ma is highlighted by the doubling of zircons derived from the Laji-Jishi Shan units: Paleozoic (Pp and Cv) and Silurian (S). This strengthening of the Laji-Jishi Shan as a source area for Linxia Basin is sustained throughout the upper Wangjiashan section, where the 7.5-Ma stratum have ~40% Laji-Jishi Shan–derived zircons. We interpret the abrupt increase in Laji-Jishi Shan–derived zircons between 14.5 and 11.5 Ma to indicate that basement terranes in the nearby Jishi Shan became a dominant sediment source for the Linxia Basin at this time.

**DISCUSSION**

The Hualong magnetostratigraphy provides a late Oligocene–late Miocene record having better accuracy and higher resolution than contemporaneous records from nearby basins. The Hualong magnetostratigraphy, with its in situ Hipparion weihoense fossil, provides a rather convincing correlation to the GPTS between 2 and 29 Ma (Fang et al., 2003; Li et al., 1997). Furthermore, discrepancies between fossil ages and magnetostratigraphy in Linxia have caused some vertebrate paleontologists to suggest errors as great as 4–8 m.y. in the late Oligocene–Miocene portion of the Linxia magnetostratigraphic correlation (Deng et al., 2004; Garzione et al., 2005). Regardless of the details, Linxia Basin does contain an invaluable late Cenozoic stratigraphic record that has served as a useful time line for continental climatic records (Dettman et al., 2003; Fan et al., 2007, 2006; Garzione et al., 2005; Wang and Deng, 2005). The Hualong section in northern Xunhua Basin, however, provides a contemporaneous record where sub-million-year Miocene stratigraphic age constraints are known with greater fidelity than in Linxia. Consequently, we exploit the high-resolution Hualong magnetostratigraphic record to interpret variations in sediment accumulation along the northeastern Tibetan Plateau margin in the context of local range growth (Fig. 9). Relative climatic quiescence throughout the late Oligocene–early Miocene (Dettman et al., 2003) suggests that regional climate change alone did not induce the changes in subsidence rates and source areas that we detect in the magnetostratigraphic record. Furthermore, if climate were the dominant control on deposition, then a shift to more arid conditions in this region starting in middle Miocene time (Dettman et al., 2003; Garzione et al., 2005; Kent-Corson et al., 2009) should have led to a decrease in erosion and deposition rates, rather than the increase that we find.

Our integration of detrital zircon records of provenance within magnetostratigraphic sections distinguishes this study from most others. Detrital zircon ages allow us to distinguish sediment sources in the plateau interior to the south from sources outboard of the plateau margin to the north—all with somewhat similar gross bedrock lithologies, though each area has a unique zircon U/Pb age spectrum (Fig. 10). Our determination of zircon age distributions from specific strata of known age within the Xunhua and Linxia Basin magnetostratigraphies allows us to track the evolution of plateau margin sources and thus tectonism throughout the late Oligocene–Miocene (Figs. 13 and 14).

Additionally, the Xunhua Basin lies at a crossroads between studied sections in Linxia, Xining, and Guide Basins (Fig. 1B), and consequently analysis of Xunhua deposition illuminates broader, regional depositional patterns. Our detailed Xunhua magnetostratigraphic (Fig. 7), sedimentologic (Fig. 2), and subsidence (Fig. 9) analyses, when compared to adjacent records, clearly define significant lateral variations in both depositional environments (Figs. 13 and 14) and accumulation rates (Fig. 15) in this region of Tibet. Furthermore, detrital zircon provenance records from Xunhua and Linxia Basin stratigraphy document changes in source terranes that can be tied to newly emergent ranges (Fig. 12). Collectively, these analyses suggest that Xunhua Basin has been adjacent to emerging high terrain in the Laji Shan since ca. 22 Ma and Jishi Shan since ca. 13 Ma. The erosion of these ranges at ca. 22 Ma and ca. 13 Ma is also corroborated by vertical transects of low-temperature thermochronometer ages from the hanging walls of the range-bounding thrust faults (Lease et al., 2011).

**Growth of the Laji Shan at ca. 22 Ma**

Growth of the Laji Shan at ca. 22 Ma is expressed in northern Xunhua Basin by a pulse of enhanced sediment accumulation, changes in detrital zircon provenance, and coarsening-upward facies. The Hualong magnetostratigraphic record shows a distinct period of rapid sediment accumulation and basin subsidence rates during 24–21 Ma (Fig. 9). Peak accumulation rates during the 24–22.5 Ma interval are more than two times higher than rates during the subsequent 21–12 Ma period; peak subsidence
rates are 3–4 times higher. Rapid tectonic subsidence until ca. 21 Ma suggests enhanced flexural loading by thrust-thickened crust until this time. This period of accelerated subsidence is corroborated by low-temperature thermochronology from the hanging wall of the eastern Laji Shan thrust fault, which shows the onset of rapid cooling at ca. 22 Ma after an extended period of slow cooling since the Late Cretaceous (Lease et al., 2011). We interpret that enhanced flexural loading evinced by the rapid tectonic subsidence in Hualong is a response to growth of the Laji Shan.

The change in Hualong depositional environment from prolonged marginal lacustrine/deltaic plain deposition (unit 1; 30–20 Ma) to alluvial-fan deposition (unit 2) at 20 Ma and then to braided fluvial deposition (unit 3) by 17.5 Ma (Figs. 3 and 9) suggests an influx of coarse sediment from an emergent or encroaching source area. Provenance determinations from 22.5 Ma and 19 Ma strata (Fig. 12A) show that the coarsening-upward facies transition is accompanied by a change to enhanced erosion of detritus unique to basement terranes in the Laji-Jishi Shan and further supports the interpretation that this range became a significant source area at this time. Unfortunately, we cannot discriminate Laji Shan versus Jishi Shan source terranes with detrital zircon ages because, within the resolution of our data, these two ranges have identical age signatures. Additionally, a preliminary examination of Laji Shan versus Jishi Shan zircon grains shows that zircons from the two ranges have similar, non-unique rare earth element patterns.

Several independent lines of evidence, however, suggest that the change in detrital zircon signature recorded in Hualong by 19 Ma can be reliably attributed to growth of the Laji Shan. First, the similarity of oxygen isotopic values between Xunhua Basin and Linxia Basin sediments between 20 and 16 Ma (Hough et al., 2011) suggests that the two basins were linked during this time with a nonextant or subdued Jishi Shan. Second, thermochronology indicates rapid erosion of the Laji Shan, but not the Jishi Shan, in the early Miocene (Lease et al., 2011). Third, paleocurrents from our oldest site at 17.5 Ma until 13.5 Ma show a range of southerly paleoflow directions that are consistent with flow away from the Laji Shan (Fig. 3). Thus, the change in zircon signature recorded in Hualong by 19 Ma is most reasonably attributed to a...
Figure 14. Late Oligocene to Pleistocene block diagram reconstruction synthesizing differences in sediment thickness, age, depositional facies, and provenance relationships among magnetostratigraphic sections in Xunhua Basin (this paper; Hough et al., 2011) and magnetoand lithostratigraphic sections in Linxia Basin (Fan et al., 2006; Fang et al., 2003; Li et al., 1997). Location shown in Figure 1B.
Laji Shan bedrock source near the area of rapid erosion revealed by low-temperature thermochronology. Finally, regional patterns of accumulation and depositional facies suggest that the Xunhua Basin was an important early Miocene depocenter (discussed later herein, under Regional Depositional Environments, Provenance, and Accumulation Patterns).

Growth of the Jishi Shan ca. 13 Ma

Detailed analyses of magnetostratigraphy and provenance from basins flanking both sides of the Jishi Shan reveal the emergence of this range at ca. 13 Ma and corroborate thermochronological and climatic records. Based on synthesis of results described here for the eastern side of the range, an up-section change in Linxia Basin detrital zircon signatures between 14.5 and 11.5 Ma brackets the emergence of the Laji-Jishi Shan as an active sediment source for Linxia Basin (Fig. 12B). We ascribe this change to enhanced erosion of the Jishi Shan (not the Laji Shan), in part because apatite (U-Th)/He and fission-track age-elevation data from the Jishi Shan thrust hanging wall show the onset of accelerated uplift and erosion of the range at ca. 13 Ma (Lease et al., 2011).

On the western side of the Jishi Shan in Xunhua Basin, magnetostratigraphic records from the Hualong basin show two notable changes at 12 Ma that we ascribe to uplift of the Jishi Shan: (1) a 50% acceleration in sediment accumulation rate at the top of the section (12–9 Ma; Fig. 9) and (2) consistently westward paleocurrents throughout this interval in Hualong (Fig. 3), which suggest sediment being shed from the emergent Jishi Shan to the east. Although it is uncertain whether the 12 Ma acceleration in Hualong sediment accumulation was due to an increase in sediment supply, accommodation space, or ponding behind the emergent Jishi Shan, each of these scenarios is consistent with growth of the Jishi Shan at this time. Finally, growth of the Jishi Shan at ca. 13 Ma is consistent with local climate records from calcareous basin fills that show divergence of Linxia and Xunhua oxygen isotopic values; this result signals topographic isolation of these two basins by surface uplift of the intervening Jishi Shan by 11 Ma (Hough et al., 2011).

Regional Depositional Environments, Provenance, and Accumulation Patterns

The late Oligocene depositional history of the northern Xunhua Basin and the Xining Basin to the northwest was characterized by a prolonged period of marginal lacustrine, playa, and deltaic plain deposition (Fig. 13). Widespread playa facies extend as far back as the early Eocene in the Xining Basin (Dai et al., 2006; Dupont-Nivet et al., 2007). The abundance of evaporite facies suggests a semiarid environment. Detrital zircon age distributions from Upper Oligocene deposits are dominated by 70%–80% West Qinling zircons, thereby indicating a significant sediment source north of the West Qinling (Fig. 12).

Chronostratigraphic analysis of Miocene deposits from two magnetostratigraphic sections within the Xunhua Basin that are separated by ~20 km along a north-south transect (Fig. 1B) defines a depositional environment where (1) in the early Miocene, the northern and southern parts of Xunhua Basin received alluvial sediment of different origin (Fig. 13), and (2) in the middle and late Miocene, fluvial deposits in the north graded into a lacustrine environment toward the south (Fig. 14). Although alluvial deposits occur from 20 Ma until 18 or 19 Ma in both the northern Xunhua (Hualong) section and the southern Xunhua section (Hough et al., 2011), these alluvial deposits are of different depositional character and were sourced from different ranges. Immature, coarse alluvial-fan deposits in Hualong (Fig. 2) were deposited during the same interval as more mature, finer-grained fluvial sediments in the southern Xunhua Basin, magnetostratigraphic records from the Xunhua Basin that are separated by ~20 km along a north-south transect (Fig. 1B) defines a depositional environment where (1) in the early Miocene, the northern and southern parts of Xunhua Basin received alluvial sediment of different origin (Fig. 13), and (2) in the middle and late Miocene, fluvial deposits in the north graded into a lacustrine environment toward the south (Fig. 14). Although alluvial deposits occur from 20 Ma until 18 or 19 Ma in both the northern Xunhua (Hualong) section and the southern Xunhua section (Hough et al., 2011), these alluvial deposits are of different depositional character and were sourced from different ranges. Immature, coarse alluvial-fan deposits in Hualong (Fig. 2) were deposited during the same interval as more mature, finer-grained fluvial sediments in the southern Xunhua Basin, magnetostratigraphic records from the Xunhua Basin that are separated by ~20 km along a north-south transect (Fig. 1B) defines a depositional environment where (1) in the early Miocene, the northern and southern parts of Xunhua Basin received alluvial sediment of different origin (Fig. 13), and (2) in the middle and late Miocene, fluvial deposits in the north graded into a lacustrine environment toward the south (Fig. 14). Although alluvial deposits occur from 20 Ma until 18 or 19 Ma in both the northern Xunhua (Hualong) section and the southern Xunhua section (Hough et al., 2011), these alluvial deposits are of different depositional character and were sourced from different ranges. Immature, coarse alluvial-fan deposits in Hualong (Fig. 2) were deposited during the same interval as more mature, finer-grained fluvial sediments in the southern Xunhua Basin, magnetostratigraphic records from the Xunhua Basin that are separated by ~20 km along a north-south transect (Fig. 1B) defines a depositional environment where (1) in the early Miocene, the northern and southern parts of Xunhua Basin received alluvial sediment of different origin (Fig. 13), and (2) in the middle and late Miocene, fluvial deposits in the north graded into a lacustrine environment toward the south (Fig. 14). Although alluvial deposits occur from 20 Ma until 18 or 19 Ma in both the northern Xunhua (Hualong) section and the southern Xunhua section (Hough et al., 2011), these alluvial deposits are of different depositional character and were sourced from different ranges. Immature, coarse alluvial-fan deposits in Hualong (Fig. 2) were deposited during the same interval as more mature, finer-grained fluvial sediments in the southern
Xunhua basin (Hough et al., 2011). Furthermore, whereas post-20 Ma Hualong deposits contain detrital zircons showing a dominant Laji-Jishi Shan source (Fig. 12A), southern Xunhua conglomerates are dominated by clasts from the West Qinling (Hough et al., 2011). After 18 Ma, the southern Xunhua Basin transitioned to a lacustrine to marginal lacustrine environment with magnetostratigraphic records at 18–15.8 Ma and 11–8.75 Ma (Hough et al., 2011) (Figs. 13 and 14). In the northern Xunhua Basin, on the other hand, fluvial deposition continued uninterrupted from 18 Ma until the top of the section at 9 Ma. This southward-fining lateral facies variation from coarse fluvial facies in the northern Xunhua Basin to finer lacustrine facies in the southern Xunhua Basin also suggests the emergence of the Laji Shan to the north as an important source area.

Until middle Miocene time, the Xunhua and Linxia Basins appear to have shared a hydrological connection (Hough et al., 2011), although Xunhua received 3–8 times thicker accumulation of late Oligocene–middle Miocene sediment than Linxia (Fig. 14). Average δ¹⁸O isotopic compositions within calcareous basin fills are similar, suggesting that the Xunhua and Linxia Basins were hydrologically linked until 11–16 Ma (Hough et al., 2011). Average early and middle Miocene accumulation rates in the Xunhua Basin, however, were 5–13 times higher than contemporaneous rates in the Linxia Basin to the east and 3–6 times higher than rates in the Xining Basin to the west (Fig. 15). The significantly greater magnitude and rates of accumulation in Xunhua Basin suggest that it was an important depocenter lying near a potential locus of crustal flexure in the Laji Shan. Furthermore, westward paleoflow within the ca. 20 Ma and ca. 14 Ma deltaic units in the Wangjiashan section in western Linxia Basin (Garzione et al., 2005) suggest flow toward a Xunhua depocenter in the west (Fig. 14).

Uplift of the Jishi Shan at ca. 13 Ma (Lease et al., 2011) disrupted the hydrological connection between the Xunhua and Linxia Basins (Hough et al., 2011), and, accordingly, late Miocene sedimentation in these basins likely responded to different controls. Jishi Shan uplift was the last in a series of range-growth events that collectively isolated Xunhua from surrounding areas and promoted intermontane ponding of sediment. The onset of uplift at ca. 13 Ma in the Jishi Shan, ca. 22 Ma in the Laji Shan (Lease et al., 2011), and ca. 45–50 Ma in the West Qinling (Clark et al., 2010) defined the eastern, northern, and southwestern margins of Xunhua Basin, respectively. In Linxia Basin, on the other hand, subsidence rates that increase with time are interpreted to indicate that flexural subsidence continued until ca. 6 Ma (Fang et al., 2003). Additionally, a threefold gradient in late Miocene accumulation rates in Linxia Basin from two magnetostratigraphic sections (Fang et al., 2003) along an ~20 km transect orthogonal to the Jishi Shan (Fig. 15) suggests a strong flexural control on local deposition.

Subsidence versus Supply

Models of foreland basins suggest that grain-size changes and subsidence rates depend on whether deposition is driven by subsidence or supply (e.g., Flemings and Jordan, 1989; Heller and Paola, 1992; Heller et al., 1988; Paola et al., 1992). Progradation of coarse-grained facies in a supply-driven basin should coincide with relatively fast subsidence and sediment accumulation rates. Progradation of coarse-grained facies in a subsidence-driven basin, however, is inhibited during periods of relatively fast subsidence rates and instead coincides with relatively slow subsidence and sediment accumulation rates.

The Hualong stratigraphic record suggests subsidence-driven foreland deposition, where the period of most rapid subsidence and accumulation (27–21 Ma; Figs. 9A, 9B, and 9C) corresponds to the deposition of the fine-grained muds of unit 1 (Fig. 9D). Furthermore, the period of slowest subsidence and accumulation (21–12 Ma) corresponds to the deposition of coarse-grained conglomerates and sandstones of units 2 and 3 (Fig. 9). Although the Hualong magnetostratigraphic section only provides a one-dimensional record, the synchrony of coarse-grained deposition with the slowest subsidence and accumulation rates suggests that progradation of gravel across the northern Xunhua Basin did not occur until after local flexural loading (i.e., tectonically driven subsidence) diminished significantly in magnitude after 21 Ma. This finding is consistent with a subsidence-driven setting modulated by thrust loading of the Laji Shan to the north.

Additionally, the considerably greater thicknesses and rates of late Oligocene–middle Miocene accumulation in Xunhua relative to Linxia and Xining indicate significant subsidence adjacent to the eastern Laji Shan (Figs. 13 and 14). Late Oligocene–middle Miocene accumulation rates in Hualong were 3–13 times more rapid than contemporaneous rates in Linxia and Xining (Fig. 15). Between 28 and 20 Ma, relatively rapid accumulation of fine-grained lacustrine muds in Hualong (~120 m/m.y.) occurred at the same time as relatively slow accumulation of coarser-grained fluvial and deltaic facies in Linxia (~10 m/m.y.) (Figs. 13 and 14). The regional correlation of fine-grained deposits with the most rapid accumulation rates suggests that subsidence rather than supply was the dominant control on sediment accumulation rates in the late Oligocene to middle Miocene Xunhua-Linxia foreland.

Regional Tectonic Implications

Recognition of the erosion and topographic development of the Laji Shan at ca. 22 Ma illuminates a poorly known episode of the Cenozoic geological history of the northeastern Tibetan Plateau. The West Qinling defined the northeastern plateau margin by 45–50 Ma, within a few million years after initial India-Asia continental collision, with the implication that stresses were transmitted instantaneously (from a geological perspective) over very large (>3000 km) distances (Clark et al., 2010; Dayem et al., 2009). Over the next 25 million years, the deformation front on the northeastern plateau margin was apparently stationary until it jumped northward ~60 km with pulsed growth of the Laji Shan at ca. 22 Ma.

Observations from bedrock cooling in bounding ranges and basin subsidence suggest that slip on the Laji Shan fault was pulsed and decelerated after ca. 18 Ma. Modeling of Laji Shan thermochronological data indicates an order-of-magnitude slowdown in cooling by 15–18 Ma (Lease et al., 2011), and subsidence records adjacent to the Laji Shan in northern Xunhua Basin show a three- to fourfold decrease in subsidence rates after 21 Ma (Fig. 9). On the West Qinling fault ~60 km to the south, on the other hand, Clark et al. (2010) interpreted a coeval period of accelerated faulting; this interpretation is based on thermochronological data that suggest a two-fold increase in erosion rates beginning at ca. 18 Ma. We speculate that these observations together suggest transfer of fault slip from the Laji Shan to the West Qinling and out-of-sequence rejuvenation of thrusting in the West Qinling. The relatively low-lying area between the West Qinling and Laji Shan ranges that is occupied by the Xunhua Basin is devoid of any notable structures accommodating north-south contraction (Figs. 2, 13, and 14). This paucity of structures suggests that the Xunhua Basin area has not been thickened via distributed north-south shortening across the basin in Oligocene-to-Holocene time, but instead that deformation has been persistently localized across either the Laji Shan or West Qinling.

The development of the north-trending Jishi Shan at ca. 13 Ma is significant because this range is oriented obliquely to and developed later than both the WNW-trending Laji Shan (ca. 22 Ma) and the NW-trending West Qinling (ca. 45–50 Ma; Clark et al., 2010) nearby. Temporal variations in the orientation of Cenozoic
range growth have been interpreted to reflect a middle Miocene reorganization of deformation, from primarily NNE-SSW contraction, which mimics the plate convergence direction, to the inclusion of east-west motion (Lease et al., 2011). One expected consequence of such a reorganization—left-lateral strike-slip motion on the West Qinling and Laji Shan faults—has been documented in Quaternary time (Li, 2005; Yuan et al., 2005). Furthermore, the present geotectonic field in this area, which is dominated by N110°E motion oriented orthogonally to the Jishi Shan (Duvall and Clark, 2010; Gan et al., 2007), suggests that this interpretation is plausible. Detailed structural and kinematic analyses of range-bounding faults, however, await further investigation. The onset of accelerated exhumation on north-trending structures in both the Jishi Shan (ca. 13 Ma) and nearby Liupan Shan (ca. 8 Ma), ~320 km to the east (Zheng et al., 2006), may signal the start of the modern deformation regime in northeastern Tibet, where contraction is coupled to left-lateral strike-slip motion in a complex regional stepover between the Kunlun and Haiyuan left-lateral faults (Duvall and Clark, 2010). Widespread middle and late Miocene accelerations in faulting, erosion, and deposition, as well as coarsening-upward facies and climatic records suggest intensified tectonic activity and changing kinematics in northeastern Tibet since this time (see Fig. 1A, and references therein; Kent-Corson et al., 2009; Molnar, 2005; Ritts et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011b).

CONCLUSION

Sedimentary successions from the northern Xunhua Basin provide a unique view of the late Oligocene–Miocene history of northeastern Tibet because these archaei are particularly sensitive to small increments of growth of nearby ranges that more distant basins fail to clearly record. The Hualong section displays a more than twofold acceleration in sediment accumulation and basin subsidence during 24–21 Ma and a transition to alluvial facies at 20 Ma that was coincident with intensified erosion of basement source terranes in the Laji-Jishi Shan by 19 Ma. Together, these findings suggest growth of the Laji Shan at 24–21 Ma in a subsidence-driven setting where progradation of coarse facies was inhibited during the period of most intense subsidence. Additionally, bedrock thermochronology suggests the onset of rapid Laji Shan cooling at ca. 22 Ma. Furthermore, an analysis of regional patterns of depositional facies and accumulation rates indicates that the Xunhua Basin was an important early Miocene depocenter that lay along the southern margin of the Laji Shan.

Later growth of the Jishi Shan after ca. 13 Ma accommodated east-west contraction. Enhanced erosion of the Jishi Shan is indicated by a change in the detrital zircon signature of Linxia Basin sediments by 11.5 Ma and by an acceleration in sediment accumulation in Hualong Basin starting at 12 Ma. Furthermore, the divergence of oxygen isotopic ratios between southern Xunhua and Linxia Basin strata by 11 Ma suggests topographic development of the intervening Jishi Shan and the growing presence of a rain shadow. Finally, bedrock thermochronology suggests the onset of rapid cooling and thrust-induced erosion in the Jishi Shan at ca. 13 Ma.

The history of the Tibetan Plateau between 40 and 13 Ma is characterized by relatively small magnitudes of range growth along the plateau’s northeastern margin. The northeastern limit of plateau deformation in this area, established in the early Eocene shortly after initial India-Asia continental collision (Clark et al., 2010), remained largely stationary over the next 25 m.y. and only jumped 60 km to the north in early Miocene time with pulsed uplift of the Laji Shan. Growth of the north-trending Jishi Shan and Liupan Shan beginning ~10 m.y. later suggests that this sector of the plateau was accommodating east-west motion by middle Miocene time.
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